

SHRIMP BEHAVIOR AFFECTS CULTURE PERFORMANCE AT SUPER-INTENSIVE STOCKING DENSITIES



Studies were carried out in covered raceways at the Oceanic Institute.

Summary:

Results from two studies at the Oceanic Institute indicated that shrimp behavior compromises growth at very high stocking densities. One study with high-density stocking corroborated earlier reports on the inverse relationship between stocking density and shrimp performance. In a second, the results showed that crowding behavior associated with high density negatively impacted shrimp growth, irrespective of water quality.

Clete A. Otoshi, Ph.D.
cotoshi@oceanicinstitute.org

Scott S. Naguwa
 Frank C. Falesch
 Shaun M. Moss, Ph.D.

The Oceanic Institute
 41-202 Kalaniana'ole Highway
 Waimanalo, Hawaii 96795 USA

Anecdotal reports and experimental data indicate there is an inverse relationship between stocking density and shrimp performance in aquaculture. Shrimp stocked at higher densities typically grow slower and exhibit lower survival than shrimp stocked at lower densities.

Most of the evidence supporting this observation comes from coastal ponds or recirculating systems with shrimp stocked at densities of less than 200/m². However,

little is known about the effects of stocking density on shrimp performance at super-intensive levels higher than 200 shrimp/m². In addition, the reasons for this inverse relationship are unclear.

We do know that at higher densities, more shrimp feed is added per unit volume of water. The increased organic load can compromise water quality and result in inferior shrimp performance, especially in recirculating systems. In addition, competitive or antagonistic shrimp behavior can account for slower growth or lower survival.

Experiment 1: Stocking Density

The authors recently evaluated the effects of stocking density on shrimp performance under super-intensive conditions by comparing shrimp growth and survival at 200 shrimp/m² (267/m³ – lower-density treatment) and 400 shrimp/m² (533/m³ – higher-density treatment) at the Oceanic Institute in Hawaii, USA. The research was funded by a grant from the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology Advanced Technology Program, Genus Plc., Zeigler Brothers Inc., and Kahuku Shrimp Co.

With 3 replicates/treatment, juvenile Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, were stocked at a mean weight of 1.12 g in six, 33-m² raceways covered with clear polyethylene sheeting. Protein skimmers were installed on each raceway to remove solids, and oxygen generators were used as a source of supplemental oxygen.

Ammonia and nitrite removal was accomplished by in situ microbes. No external biofilters were used. Shrimp were fed a 35%-protein diet, which was added to each raceway by 24-hour belt feeders. The shrimp were harvested after 86 days.

Results

Mean weight gain, growth rate, and survival were significantly higher in the lower-density treatment, whereas mean feed conversion and production were significantly higher in the higher-density treatment (Table 1). The data corroborated earlier published reports about the inverse relationship between stocking density and shrimp performance.

Table 1. Shrimp performance data for test treatments.

Parameter	Lower Density (200/m ²)	Higher Density (400/m ²)
Weight gain (g)	22.4 ± 0.92	17.1 ± 0.28
Growth rate (g/week)	1.71 ± 0.06	1.32 ± 0.02
Survival (%)	80.9 ± 2.94	73.3 ± 1.03
Production (kg/m ²)	3.6 ± 0.23	5.1 ± 0.13
Feed-conversion ratio	1.7 ± 0.02	1.9 ± 0.01

Regarding water quality, there were no significant differences in mean total ammonia-nitrogen and nitrite-nitrogen concentrations between the treatments. In contrast, carbon dioxide concentrations were relatively low in all raceways during the first seven weeks of the trial, but increased rapidly in the higher-density treatment at the end of the trial (Figure 1). It is possible that the elevated carbon dioxide concentrations had a toxic effect on the shrimp, although little is known about the effects of carbon dioxide on shrimp growth or survival.




Figure 1. Carbon dioxide concentrations for the test treatments.

Experiment 2: Density, Water Quality

In an effort to identify possible causes of inferior shrimp performance at higher stocking densities, Oceanic Institute researchers conducted a preliminary experiment to separate the effects of shrimp density and related behavioral effects from those of water quality.

Juvenile *L. vannamei* of about 3 g weight were stocked in six, 33-m² raceways as described previously and exposed to four treatments as outlined in the Figure 2 schematic diagram. Shrimp in each raceway were physically divided by 1-mm mesh screen into two populations with stocking densities of 100 or 600/m². The barrier prevented movement of shrimp from one side to the other, but allowed water movement.

Three of the raceways had an overall stocking density of 200/m², and three had an overall density of 400/m².




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup designed to separate the effects of shrimp density from water quality.

The design allowed separation of the effects of shrimp density/behavior from those of water quality on shrimp performance. The shrimp segregated at higher density effectively experienced water-quality conditions as though they were stocked at lower density.

Results

Growth data collected at week five (Figure 3) indicated that shrimp in the lower-density 100 S.D./200 W.Q. and 100 S.D./400 W.Q. treatments grew significantly faster than shrimp in the higher-density 600 S.D./200 W.Q. and 600 S.D./400 W.Q. treatments. Mean shrimp weights for the low-density and high-density treatments were 14.78 and 11.04 g, respectively.

The figures suggested that crowding behavior associated with high density negatively impacted shrimp growth, irrespective of water quality. Water quality parameters were routinely monitored on both sides of the barrier within each raceway, and no significant differences in values were detected.




Figure 3. Mean shrimp weight through the first five weeks of experiment 2.

In contrast, the shrimp that experienced water quality conditions as though they were stocked at 200/m² (100 S.D./200 W.Q. and 600 S.D./200 W.Q.) were only slightly larger than those experiencing water quality as though they were stocked at 400/m² (100 S.D./400 W.Q. and 600 S.D./400 W.Q.). Mean weights were 13.20 g and 12.65 g for the two groups, respectively. These data indicated that water quality had little effect on shrimp growth.

Behavioral Effects

To our knowledge, this data represents the first reported evidence that shrimp behavior compromises growth at higher stocking densities. This potential obstacle to increased production can be circumvented through selective breeding, if relevant aspects of shrimp behavior are heritable.

Similarly, farmers may be able to employ infrastructure modifications or revised management strategies to mitigate the effects of these negative behaviors. Clearly, more research is needed to address these issues.